



Tabitha Weru

Senior Associate, IKM Advocates

Tabitha.Weru@ikm.dlapiperafrica.com

Nairobi

T +254 20 277 3000

F +254 20 277 3111

M +254 722 898 393

Tabitha Weru is a Senior Associate in the Dispute Resolution department of IKM. She is well-versed in commercial dispute resolution, employment and labor relations litigation and advisory and insurance matters. Tabitha has also been involved as assisting counsel in a number of precedent-setting cases.

Tabitha's clients include the government, state corporations, insurance companies, leading banking institutions and private companies.

Related services

[Litigation, Arbitration and Regulatory](#)

Related sectors

[Financial Services](#)

[Insurance](#)

[Real Estate](#)

Languages spoken

English, Swahili

Credentials

Professional Qualifications

- Advocate admitted to the High Court of Kenya (2014)

Education

- Kenya School of Law, Diploma in Law (2013)
- University of Nairobi, Bachelor of Laws LL.B. (2012)
- Certified Public Accountant (finalist) (2011)
- Certified Secretary (part II)

Prior Experience

- 2020 to date, Senior Associate, IKM, DLA Piper Africa, Kenya
- 2015 to 2020, Associate, IKM, DLA Piper Africa, Kenya
- 2014 to 2015, Pupil, IKM, DLA Piper Africa, Kenya

Memberships

- Law Society of Kenya
- Institute of Certified Secretaries of Kenya

Insights

Protecting labour rights for gig workers

4 November 2024

The rise of the global gig economy where more people are working as freelancers and side-jobs, fuelled by increased access to smartphones and internet connectivity, has transformed Kenya's employment landscape. This has ushered a new era of flexibility, autonomy, and remote working. Platforms like Uber, Bolt, Jumia, and Glovo have empowered Kenyans to engage in freelance and short-term work, significantly contributing to this economic shift.

The Supreme Court Issues Guidance on Assessment of Advocate-Client costs

7 August 2024

IKM has successfully defended Kenya Airports Authority in a landmark case at the Supreme Court, challenging the decision of the Court of Appeal in assessing the instruction fees due to an advocate.